

Liber P. R. 4
p. 184

The Petition of Giles Brent Esq, and of mary his wife,
to the ho^{tie} the Counsell of the Province.

Sheweth, That wheras the ho^{tie} Leonard Calvert Esq Govern^r of this Prov: was bound to deliver to the said Mary or her assignes by the first of Aprill last, certaine cattell specified in his bill now ready to be produced in Court, neverthelesse the said cattell were not then or since delivered according to the said bill, to the damage of the pet^{rs} the value of 5700^l tob & cask. And therefore the pet^{rs} pray that you will become intercessers vnto the said ho^{tie} Gov^r on their behalfe that he wilbe pleased to satisfy vnto them their said damage of 5700^l tob & cask; & yo^r pet^{rs} will alwaies pray &c.

G. B.

p. 186

The petition of Giles Brent Esq to the ho^{tie} the Counsell of the Province. Jan. 7. 1644.

Sheweth that whereas the ho^{tie} Leonard Calvert Esq Govern^r of the Prov: did by certaine articles betweene him & the said Giles, oblige himselfe to convey to the said Giles certaine lands in the County of Kent, in those articles specified, and likewise to secure him by bond or covenant to keepe him indemnified in the possession of the said lands and to iustify his title in them; nevertheles the said ho^{tie} Gov^r having beene required to secure the pet^r according to the said articles, hath refused so to doe, whereby the pet^r remaines exposed to manifest danger of much losse denounced vnto him from willia Clayborne a pretender to the said lands. ffor his remedy in w^{ch} case to wit that he may have a competent security given him for his indemnification in the possession of the said lands, and for iustification of his title in them according to the said articles, he prayeth that you will become intercessors on his behalfe vnto the said ho^{tie} Gov^r &c.

G. B.

To the ho^{tie} Governor.

Sir

I doe signify vnto you that M^r Giles Brent hath delivered vnto me 2. petitions herewth sent vnto you; and I desire you by vertue of the Law in that behalfe, that you wilbe pleased to give him a competent security for his indemnification in the possession of the lands at Kent, mentioned in one of the said petitions, & for iustification of his title in them, according to the said petition, dated 7. January instant: & likewise to satisfy vnto him 5700^l tob & cask, demanded in the other petition for damage of non pformance of a covenant to his wife Mary touching certaine cattell; or els to shew cause why you refuse