

Nic<sup>o</sup> Spencer p<sup>l</sup>t }  
 Ma : Bateman de<sup>f</sup>t } let fall'n ut supra—

Liber B B

Jn<sup>o</sup> Nuthall p<sup>l</sup>t } the p<sup>l</sup>t : sues as in his pet<sup>con</sup> upon a specialty in  
 Tho : Dent de<sup>f</sup>nd<sup>t</sup> } folio 275—Judgm<sup>t</sup> by the de<sup>f</sup>t confest for 389<sup>2</sup><sup>th</sup>  
 tob. : the remaine of the said Bill there being a receipt on the back  
 side for part thereof to be paid att One intire payment & accordingly  
 entred for Iudgm<sup>t</sup>—

Jn<sup>o</sup> Nuthall p<sup>l</sup>t : }  
 Tho : Dent de<sup>f</sup>nd<sup>t</sup> } respited for an hour till the Defend<sup>t</sup>  
 the Atto<sup>r</sup> of Hollingworth } puts in his answer

Jn<sup>o</sup> Reed p<sup>l</sup>t : } the p<sup>l</sup>t sues as in his pet<sup>con</sup> fo: 276 the de<sup>f</sup>t  
 Geo: Reed de<sup>f</sup>nd<sup>t</sup> } put' him to proue his declara<sup>o</sup>n that he had  
 made any trespass upon the said land—the p<sup>l</sup>t : not prouing any tres-  
 pass neither by wittnesses or otherwise the de<sup>f</sup>t Craues Nonsuite,  
 which by the Board is Ordered—likewise the Board hath Ordered the  
 de<sup>f</sup>t these charges following

A nonsuite . . . . .	350	} 500 <sup>th</sup> tob :
3 dayes attendance ..	90	
Attorneyship . . . . .	60	

Summons in ditto Causo for Peter Ioy in Court—

John Halfhead p<sup>l</sup>t } The p<sup>l</sup>t sues as in his pet<sup>con</sup> fo: 283 produc-  
 Jone Nicculgutt de<sup>f</sup>t } ing the de<sup>f</sup>t<sup>s</sup> Indenture—The de<sup>f</sup>nd<sup>t</sup> by her  
 Attorney puts in her answer which is as foll :

To the hon<sup>ble</sup> the Gouverno<sup>r</sup> and Councill

283  
330

The defence of James Thompson Gent<sup>n</sup> in the behalfe of Jone  
 Moglanna (uide)

Imp<sup>r</sup> In answere to that Clause in the pet<sup>con</sup> of the plaintiffs w<sup>ch</sup>  
 saith that neither the plaintiffe nor M<sup>r</sup> Cuthbert ffenwick were p<sup>r</sup>sent  
 att that time when Jone Maglanna had her freedome Granted by  
 Order of Our Court, I the said James Thompson in behalfe of the  
 said Jone Maglanna doe auerre th<sup>e</sup> Contrary And Cann and will [p. 301]  
 proue that M<sup>r</sup> Fenwick was then p<sup>r</sup>sent and did demand of mee (who  
 then was alsoe her Attorney) why I needed to sue in this Case and  
 I made him answere why doe yo<sup>w</sup> then retaine her and hinder her  
 ffreedome And hee said I hinder her not, and therefore that Clause  
 in the Plaintiffs declara<sup>o</sup>n is erroneously alleadged—

Secondly that pretended Indenture is noe way effectuall to binde 2<sup>ly</sup>  
 the said Jone Maglanna it being made allmost a twelue month after  
 her Arriuall as by two Oathes (now resting upon Record in Caluert  
 County and whereof the Plaintiffe hath a Coppy) may euidently  
 appeare, therefore the de<sup>f</sup>nd<sup>t</sup> is unjustly sued for anothers yeares  
 Service.