

Liber B Whearupon the Plantiue alleageth that the last night hee was admitted of as an Attorney and that no law can enforce a man to sware against himself for hee was subpened after hee was admitted an Attorney and of the truth hearof hee desireth the iury to informe them selues of the board

And the defendant desireth that his witnesses might bee sworne upon interrogatiues to them by him proposed which was granted

And unto Samuell Price and John Neads hee proposeth wheather hee did not giue order to the Sheriffe to subpene them yee or nay and wheather they wear not subpened yea or nay who sware they wear subpened at his suit and further sayeth not :

And to Andrew Watson and John Cherman hee proposeth wheather hee did not giue order to the Sheriffe to subpene M^r Francis Batchelor yea or nay at that time in the same suit when hee ordered the sheriffe to subpene them who doe declare upon oath that hee did as fast as hee could giue order unto him after that hee had ordered him to subpene them and further sayeth not :

Whearupon the Jury was impannelled and the Precedent buisnes deliuered unto them the Petition excepted

The Names of the Jurymen are as followeth

M ^r John Alexander	Francis Thorington	Gils Glouer
William Price	James Lee	William Aliffe
Daniell Johnson	John Browne	John Neuill
Samuell dobson	Gils Tomkins	William Cary

Who beeing sworne and thear forman Chose braught in this thear Verdit thay all Concentering but befor thay went out on the buisnes The defendant deliuered this ensuing declaration in wrighting

[p. 48] Gentlemen of the Jury you are to goe according to law and Euidence and examin the Record whether M^r Batchelor was the Atturney last night or no and you will find that my euidence was subpened last night by the officer of the law who is the Sheriffe and thearfor I Cannot answer nothing to my Cause if i haue not my euidence Shearch the records and you will find that thear is only the generall letter of Attorney and not another deriued from it :

December the 18th 1662

In a differance depending between Edward Baker Plantiue and John Meekes defendant M^r Alexander together with the Rest of the Jurie find M^r Batchelor as well Attorney to day as Admitted by the defendant last night finding nothing that may inualid his Attorneyship the sayd M^r Batchelor beeing admitted by the Consent of the board and wee further find the sayd Batchelor no lawfull Euidence in the defendants Cause

Whearupon the Defendant sayd Now I will answer to the petition which beeing read the Plantiue thearupon Craued a Jury the defen-