

Printed
Pamphlet.
Md.Hist.Soc.

p. 3

A Message from the Lower to the Upper House, on the 9th of April 1762, accompanying the Supply Bill, which was then sent up.

May it please your Honours,

To answer the Royal Expectations, communicated to us by his Excellency the Governor at the Opening of this Session, we have framed and passed the Bill which goes to your Honours with this Message [*The message which begins thus is also printed in full in the Lower House journal p. 114*]

- pp. 3-4 A Message from the Upper to the Lower House, on the 13th of April 1762, accompanying the Supply Bill, which was then sent down with a Negative.

By the Upper House of Assembly, 13th April, 1762.
Gentlemen,

Extremely desirous that his Majesty's Requisitions, signified to us at the Opening of this Session, should be complied with, we cannot but lament that while you, on your Parts, express the same Inclination, you have offered us such a Bill for raising the Supplies, as you must have been satisfied this House would never agree to: [*The message which begins thus is also printed in full in the Upper House journal pp 25-26*]

- pp. 4-6 A Message from the Lower to the Upper House, on the 15th of April.

By the Lower House of Assembly.

May it please your Honours,

Your Message of the 13th Instant, is Matter of real Concern to us, as it deprives us of all Hopes, for the present, of coming to an Agreement with your Honours, about the Manner of raising Supplies for his Majesty's service, [*The message which begins thus is also printed in full in the Lower House journal pp 127-130*]

- pp. 6-10 A Message from the Upper to the Lower House, on the 24th of April.

By the Upper House of Assembly, 24th April, 1762.

Gentlemen,

Your Message of the Sixteenth Instant is of so extraordinary a Nature, both in Language and Sentiment, and so unbecoming the Representative of the People of Maryland, which, without the least Foundation, sets up for an unrivalled Zeal for his Majesty's Service, [*The message which begins thus is also printed in full in the Upper House journal pp 56-64*]