

The Court adjourns untill to morrow morning 8 a Clock forenoon Wednesday February the 17<sup>th</sup> 1724/5. The Court meet According to Adjournment.

Present: His Honour the Governour; The Hon<sup>r</sup>ble Samuel Young Esq<sup>r</sup>; John Rousby Esq<sup>r</sup>; Co<sup>t</sup> Rich<sup>d</sup> Tilghman; John Hall Esq<sup>r</sup>; Co<sup>t</sup> Tho<sup>s</sup> Addison; Benj<sup>m</sup> Tasker Esq<sup>r</sup>, of the Council

This Day his Honour the Governour produced to this Court an order of his Majesty in Council of the 4<sup>th</sup> of July 1724 Which is as follows.

[Locus Sigilli] At the Court at Kensington the 4<sup>th</sup> day of July 1724

Present The Kings most Excellent Maj<sup>ty</sup>

Lord Chancellor

Lord Viscount Townsend

Lord President

Lord Bishop of London

Lord Privy Seale

Lord Carteret

Lord Chamberlain

M<sup>r</sup> Vice Chamberlain

Duke of Newcastle

M<sup>r</sup> Chancell<sup>r</sup> of the Exchequer

Earle of Cadogan

Lieut. Generall of the Ordinance

S<sup>r</sup> Robert Sutton

[637] Upon reading this day at the Board a Report from the Right Hon<sup>ble</sup> the Lords of the Committee for hearing Appeals from the Plantations dated the 17<sup>th</sup> of June last in the words following Viz<sup>t</sup>

In pursuance of an order in Councill of the 21<sup>st</sup> of Febry last referring to this Committee the humble Petition and Appeale of Jonathan Forward of London Merchant Against Gilbert Poulson from Maryland. Their Lordships Appointed this Day for Considering the Same And do find the Said Petition Setts forth (int alia) that on 5<sup>th</sup> September 1717 the Appellant entered into Charter Party With the Said Gilbert Poulson Master of the Ship Dolphin for the Freight of the Said Vessell for Transportation of one hundred and Thirty one Servants to Maryland or Virginia and to bring a Homeward bound Cargo back from thence to the appellant Within Ten Days after Delivery of Which Homeward bound Cargo in the Thames the Appellant was to pay the Said Poulson after the Rate of Fifty Pounds per Month for Each Month his Ship Should be out on the Said Voyage and According to Which Agreement the Said Poulson Delivered the Said Servants in Maryland but tho no part of the Ships Freight became due till the Ship Arrived in the Thames and tho the appellants Factors had Shipt a back Loading on board Poulson and Directed him to Sail for London and protested against him for not doing So and tho the appellants Factor's there had paid the Said Poulson a Considerable Sum of money. Yet the Said Poulson brought an Action in the provincial Court there Against the Appellant for Three Hundred and Sixty Pounds Seven Shillings and Six pence pretended to be due to him for the Said Ships Freight and for non payment whereof he laid his Damages at Seven hundred and Fifty Pounds Whereupon the 20<sup>th</sup> Sept<sup>r</sup> 1720 the Court