

as concerned as I am that the party has been humiliated in a City election. And that is what happened to our party, we were humiliated yesterday.

Q. Do you intend to see the Mayor?

A. Well, I certainly would. I think the Mayor would be a valuable person to have present at any such meeting, and I think he should be interested in building the party.

Q. Governor, what do you think is lacking in Baltimore?

A. I wish I knew the answer to that question, because I lay awake for a period last night trying to figure out exactly why the conditions you've enumerated, which I think are true, exist. And I haven't come to any conclusion about it yet. I think a contributing cause is the very good campaign that was put on by the Mayor-elect. He started early, he consolidated his forces, he ran an excellent campaign. I think that as Mr. Sherwood himself said on television last night, perhaps he became totally involved a little too late in his timing to attract the necessary attention.

Q. Mayor McKeldin . . . [Remainder of question inaudible in taped recording.—Ed.]

A. I understand the Mayor hasn't exactly been applauded for his part in the campaign. But I have no comment to make about that.

Q. Do you know about sale of lists of names of licensed drivers to mail order firms? What is your opinion of the practice?

A. I don't know the exact figure that the State gets, and I intend to talk with Commissioner Jewell about this. My off-the-cuff opinion is that I don't approve basically of the idea of the State's peddling any confidential or even quasi-confidential information, regardless of the bonafides of the purpose of the sale. It seems to me that public information should not be made subject to a commercial deal between a private interest and the government. Now, I don't say that this is an improper thing that's happened, I say as a matter of broad policy I don't approve of it. It could lead to evils.

Q. Do you have any position on single-member legislative districts now being considered in the Constitutional Convention?

A. I haven't taken a position on that yet. It's a very complex subject with many good arguments for and against single-member districts. I'm still engaged in considering the impact of single-member districts against the present group districting. I expect that I will take a position on it sometime before it comes to floor debate. But it's not an easy question to decide, and I haven't made a definite decision.