

On motion of Mr. Hebb,

All further proceedings under the call were dispensed with.

The question being on the adoption of the amendment of Mr. Sands to the amendment submitted by Mr. Clarke to the fourth Article of the report,

Mr. Sands demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Hebb,	Schley,
Goldsborough, P't	Hopkins,	Schlosser,
Annan,	Hopper,	Scott,
Audoun,	Jones, of Cecil,	Smith, of Carroll,
Baker,	Keefe,	Smith, of Wor.,
Barron,	Kennard,	Snearly,
Carter,	Markey,	Stirling,
Cunningham,	Mullikin,	Stockbridge,
Cushing,	Murray,	Swope,
Daniel,	Negley,	Sykes,
Davis, of Wash.,	Nyman,	Thomas,
Earle,	Pugh,	Todd,
Ecker,	Robinette,	Valliant,
Galloway,	Russell,	Wickard,
Hatch,	Sands,	Wooden—45.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Abbott,	Crawford,	Jones, of Som.
Belt,	Davis, of Charles,	King,
Berry, of Balt. co.	Dennis,	Lansdale,
Berry, of P. Geo.	Duvall,	Larsh,
Billingsley,	Gale,	Lee,
Blackiston,	Harwood,	Marbury,
Bond,	Henkle,	Mitchell,
Briscoe,	Hodson,	Miller,
Brown,	Hoffman,	Morgan,
Chambers,	Hollyday,	Parker,
Clarke,	Horsey,	Wilmer—33.

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the affirmative.

The question recurring upon the amendment as amended,

It was decided in the negative.