

1 effective dates and proposal adoption or things like that.
2 I don't see any dangerous precedent being set here.

3 The Style Committee has probably reverted to an
4 unusual rephrasing in the last line of section 10.03, but
5 to me it is perfectly clear what their intent was, and I
6 found no problem with it.

7 DELEGATE JAMES: Delegate Chabot.

8 DELEGATE CHABOT: Then do I understand correctly
9 that the intent of the General Provisions Committee in
10 reporting these two matters out would be attained only if
11 we used either the cross reference of Style and Drafting
12 or if we repeated all the language at the end of 10.02,
13 including the effective date language?

14 DELEGATE BOYER: Yes, sir, this is exactly what
15 I interpret it to be. By cross reference it would adopt
16 exactly the same wording.

17 DELEGATE JAMES: Delegate Chabot.

18 DELEGATE CHABOT: May I speak for a moment against
19 the amendment?

20 DELEGATE JAMES: The delegate may proceed.

21 DELEGATE CHABOT: Delegate Needle and