

THE CHAIRMAN: Are there any questions of the delegate sponsoring the amendment? Delegate Morgan.

DELEGATE MORGAN: Mr. Chairman, of course the reason for putting a two-term limitation on the governor was the fear that if you did not the governor is in a position where he can really build up quite a political empire and just perpetuate himself in office.

I do not think the same reason applies to an attorney general, and if you get a very good man who is attorney general for the State, I do not know why the people cannot keep an attorney general indefinitely, if they want him to be attorney general, and I do not think he has anywhere near the opportunity of building up political empires like a governor has. For that reason, I ask that the amendment be defeated.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any further discussion? Delegate Key.

DELEGATE KEY: I would like to ask Delegate Morgan a question.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Morgan, do you yield for a question?

DELEGATE MORGAN: I yield.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Key.

DELEGATE KEY: Is it not possible, if an attorney general should stay in for four or five terms, that his power in the State, having run consecutively and won for five terms, could overpower the executive authority of the governor because he is better known and better respected than the governor newly elected?

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Morgan.

DELEGATE MORGAN: Well, I think if he were better known and better respected than the governor, he would run for governor.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Key.

DELEGATE KEY: I am just reminded of those countries in Europe where the commissioner of police seems to have more power than the mayor.

THE CHAIRMAN: Are you ready for the question?

*(Call for the question.)*

The Clerk will ring the quorum bell.

The question arises on the adoption of Amendment No. 5 to Committee Recommendation EB-2.

A vote Aye is a vote in favor of Amendment No. 5. A vote No is a vote against. Cast your votes.

Has every delegate voted? Does any delegate desire to change his vote?

*(There was no response.)*

The Clerk will record the vote.

There being 21 votes in the affirmative, 91 in the negative, the motion is lost. The amendment is rejected.

Delegate Marion, do you desire to offer your amendment I?

DELEGATE MARION: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: The Pages will please distribute amendment I. This will be Amendment No. 6. The Clerk will read the amendment.

READING CLERK: Amendment No. 6 to Committee Recommendation EB-2, by Delegates Marion, Carson, Case, Gleason, Grant and Johnson: On page 1 Section , Attorney General, in line 10 strike out the words "election as" and insert in lieu thereof the words: "the office of"; and in line 13 after the word "election" insert the words "or appointment."

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Morgan, in view of your previous statement, would you comment as to whether you would accept this amendment?

DELEGATE MORGAN: I will accept the amendment, Mr. Chairman.

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Marion, will you and your sponsors submit the amendment on that statement, or do you desire to speak to it?

DELEGATE MARION: We would be happy to submit on that.

THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment has been submitted by Delegate Marion, seconded by Delegates Carson, Case, Gleason, Grant and Johnson. Are you ready for the question?

*(Call for the question.)*

The question arises on the adoption of Amendment No. 6 to Committee Recommendation EB-2.

A vote Aye is a vote in favor of Amendment No. 6. A vote No is a vote against.

The Clerk will ring the quorum bell. Cast your votes.

Has every delegate voted? Does any delegate desire to change his vote?