

ment submitted by Mr. Miller, on yesterday, as a substitute for the whole bill,

Pending which,

Mr. Miller demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained, the yeas and nays were called, and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.

Gorman, Speaker,	Ford, of Q. A.,	Clark, of B. city,
Colton, of St. M's.	Parker,	Harig,
Chapman,	Phillips,	Whitson,
Stone,	Rowe,	Porter,
Roach,	Delaplans,	Coles,
Dougherty,	McCosker,	Young, of Alle.,
Vickers,	Stewart,	Robinette—21.

NEGATIVE.

Messrs.

Wilmer,	Mearns,	Chaisty,
Hurtt,	Penington,	Feig,
Duvall,	Bowie,	McColgan,
Henkle,	Eareckson,	Markland,
Bond,	Miller,	Griswold,
Sparrow,	Routzahn,	Ardinger,
Shipley,	Riley,	Newcomer,
Turner,	Baldwin,	Hilton,
Foard, of B. co.,	Deweese,	Riggs,
Litzenger,	Hamilton, T. H.,	Clark, of Mont.,
Spencer,	Hamilton, C. R.,	Lamotte, L. A. J.,
Butler,	Jamart,	Polk,
Goldsborough,	Foster,	Lamotte, H. H.,
Smith,	Staylor,	Johnson,
Radclyffe,	Travers,	Langrell—47.
Groome,	Kirk,	

So the amendment was rejected.

The question then recurring upon the second reading of the bill,

Mr. Bowie moved the previous question,

Which was sustained.

The question then being,

“ Shall the previous question be now put ? ”

It was decided in the affirmative.