

Cases remanded that pleadings may be amended and proof taken: *Glenn v. Clark*, 53 Md. 607; *Shreve v. Shreve*, 43 Md. 403; *Campbell v. Lowe*, 9 Md. 509; *Berry v. Episcopal Church*, 7 Md. 581; *Thomas v. Doub*, 1 Md. 328; *Owings v. Baldwin*, 8 Gill, 357; *Buchanan v. Torrance*, 11 G. & J. 345; *Chaney v. Tipton*, 11 G. & J. 255; *Evans v. Iglehart*, 6 G. & J. 205; *Owings v. Baldwin*, 8 Gill, 357.

Cases remanded that an answer may be filed: *Keyser v. Upshur*, 92 Md. 740; *C. & P. Telephone Co. v. Baltimore*, 89 Md. 717; *Johnson v. Robertson*, 34 Md. 175; *Tolson v. Tolson*, 8 Gill, 390; *Lyles v. Hatton*, 6 G. & J. 136.

Cases remanded that the bill may be amended: *Gittings v. Baltimore*, 95 Md. 427; *Commercial, etc., Assn. v. Robinson*, 90 Md. 625; *Judik v. Crane*, 81 Md. 620; *Jeffrey v. Flood*, 70 Md. 46; *Bayne v. Edelen*, 62 Md. 110; *Keerl v. Keerl*, 28 Md. 161; *Thomas v. Doub*, 1 Md. 328; *Kent v. Tanehill*, 6 G. & J. 4.

Cases remanded that accounts may be stated: *Trieber v. Lanahan*, 23 Md. 136; *Reese v. Bank of Commerce*, 14 Md. 284; *Carr v. Hobbs*, 11 Md. 296; *Young v. Reynolds*, 4 Md. 384; *Clagett v. Hall*, 9 G. & J. 97.

Cases remanded that other parties may be made: *Jarrell v. Felton*, 86 Md. 692; *Foos v. Scarf*, 55 Md. 313; *Ridgeley v. Bond*, 18 Md. 451; *Marbury v. Stonestreet*, 1 Md. 162; *Iglehart v. Lee*, 4 Md. Ch. 514; *Clagett v. Hall*, 9 G. & J. 97.

Cases remanded for miscellaneous purposes: *Carlin v. Harris*, 100 Md. 56 (that further proceedings may be taken); *Wilson v. Blount*, 93 Md. 34 (that decree may be corrected); *Cockey v. Plempel*, 86 Md. 188 (that supplementary bill may be dismissed and case heard upon original bill); *Riley v. Carter*, 76 Md. 613 (that decree may be passed between co-defendants); *Girault v. Adams*, 61 Md. 13 (that certain money may be paid or, in default, certain property sold); *Rust v. Chisolm*, 57 Md. 384 (that a mechanic's lien claim may be amended); *Perkins v. Emory*, 55 Md. 38 (that an error may be corrected and a claim enforced); *Stanhope v. Dodge*, 52 Md. 494 (that claims may be proved and distribution made); *Light Street, etc., Co. v. Bannon*, 47 Md. 145 (that bill may be amended, proof taken and further proceedings had); *Smith v. Shaffer*, 46 Md. 579 (that proceedings may be amended); *Fulton v. Harman*, 44 Md. 267 (that omissions in the record may be supplied); *Cole v. Cole*, 41 Md. 305 (that the case may be disposed of in conformity with the opinion of the appellate court); *Thruston v. Minke*, 32 Md. 577 (that property may be decreed to be sold free of mortgages); *Smith v. Townsend*, 27 Md. 391 (that proof may be taken and an account stated); *Mory v. Michael*, 18 Md. 242 (that further proceedings may be had); *Baltimore, etc., Dept. v. Creamer*, 17 Md. 259 (that further proceedings may be had); *Phelps v. Stewart*, 17 Md. 240 (to have a new partition and assignment of dower); *Glenn v. Cockey*, 16 Md. 455 (to appoint a new trustee); *Gayle v. Fattle*, 14 Md. 86 (that further proceedings may be had); *Willett v. Carroll*, 13 Md. 469 (that further proceedings may be had); *Greer v. Baughman*, 13 Md. 278 (that a fund may be properly applied); *Dugan v. Hollins*, 11 Md. 81 (that further proceedings may be had); *Hutchins v. Dixon*, 11 Md. 41 (that further proceedings may be had); *Williams v. Banks*, 11 Md. 251 (that further proceedings may be had according to the opinion); *Robertson v. American, etc., Assn.*, 10 Md. 408 (that the decree may be corrected and further proceedings had); *Rider v. Grey*, 10 Md. 301 (that a proper decree may be passed); *Lanahan v. Latrobe*, 7 Md. 273 (that further proceedings may be had); *Watson v. Bane*, 7 Md. 130 (that the decision of the appellate court may be made effective); *Bowie v. Stonestreet*, 6 Md. 434 (that the decision of the appellate court may be made effective); *Wilson v. Farquharson*, 5 Md. 140 (that an investment may be made, and interest paid); *White v. Flannigan*, 1 Md. 551 (that further proceedings may be had); *Clagett v. Hall*, 9 G. & J. 97 (that pleadings may be amended, other parties made, further accounts stated and testimony taken); *Young v. Lyons*, 8 Gill, 170 (for further proceedings); *Peters v. Van Lear*, 4 Gill, 265 (that further proceedings may be had).

Generally.

The case when remanded stands as though no appeal had been taken and no former decree passed. The opinion of the court of appeals controls. *Dennis v. Dennis*, 15 Md. 149; *Williams v. Banks*, 19 Md. 35. And see *Eyler v. Hoover*, 8 Md. 1.