

ARTICLE 4.**BALTIMORE CITY.****Charter.***

(Article 1.)

MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE.**CORPORATE NAME, POWER TO HOLD PROPERTY, ANNEX.**

P. L. L. (1860), Art. 4, sec. 1. P. L. L. (1888), Art. 4, sec. 1.

1. The inhabitants of the City of Baltimore are a corporation, by the name of the "Mayor and City Council of Baltimore," and by that name shall have perpetual succession, may sue and be sued, may purchase and hold real, personal and mixed property and dispose of the same for the benefit of said city, as herein provided, and may have and use a common seal, which may be altered at pleasure.

Mayor & C. C. of Balto. v. State, 15 Md. 376. State v. Graves, 19 Md. 351. Horn v. Mayor & C. C. of Balto., 30 Md. 218. Pumphrey v. Mayor, &c., of Balto., 47 Md. 145. Ireton v. Mayor &c., of Balto., 61 Md. 432. Dugan v. Mayor, &c., of Balto., 70 Md. 1. Balto. City v. Merryman, 86 Md. 591. Balto. City v. Gorter, 93 Md. 6. Davidson v. Balto. City, 96 Md. 511. Balto. City v. Beck, 96 Md. 190.

As to powers of the municipal corporation generally, see M. & C. C. of Balto. v. Howard, 20 Md. 335. Mayor, &c., of Balto. v. Poultney, 25 Md. 107. Mayor, &c., of Balto. v. Groshon, 30 Md. 436. Hagerstown v. Sehner, 37 Md. 180. Groff v. Mayor, 44 Md. 67. Mayor, &c., of Balto. v. Reitz, 50 Md. 574. Heiskell v. Mayor, 65 Md. 148. State v. Rowe, 72 Md. 548. Lake Rol. Elv. R. R. Co. v. Balto., 77 Md. 352. Revell v. Annapolis, 81 Md. 1. M. & C. C. of Balto. v. Keeley Inst., 81 Md. 106. Mealey v. Hagerstown, 92 Md. 741. Packard v. Hayes, 94 Md. 233. Murdoch v. Strange, 99 Md. 104. Cambridge v. Water Co., 99 Md. 502.

See note to sec. 1, Art. 1 (Statutes), City Code (1879).

City may be sued in the County for trespass to real estate.

Baltimore v. Turnpike Company, 104 Md. 351.

Southern boundary of city extends to limit of any pier built from city side into River.

West. Md. Co. v. City, 106 Md. 561.

But jurisdiction does not extend over floating piers or vessels, moored to shore.

Treuth v. State, 120 Md. 257.

In transitory actions city must be sued in its own Courts.

Phillips v. M. & C. C., 110 Md. 431.

P. L. L. (1860), Art. 4, sec. 2. P. L. L. (1888), Art. 4, sec. 1. 1888, ch. 111.

2. All the property and franchises of every kind belonging to, or in possession of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore are vested in said corporation. The said corporation may receive in trust, and may control

*Sections 1-222 were adopted under the Home Rule Amendment at November election, 1918. The Constitutionality of this Charter was sustained in Williams v. Broening, 135 Md. 226.