the final version of the bill and knowing who they should 1 hold accountable; that the bills pass the houses in two 2 different forms. It goes to conference. Everyone may be 3 in favor of it and yet the result may be undesirable and the legislators in their various and conflicting roles are 5 not accountable to the people. A voter cannot say, you 6 did it, you voted and it was your vote that carried it or 7 it went the other way. There is a confusion of roles of 8 responsibility and that this is undesirable and then, of 9 course, they make the claim that in unicameralism you have 10 clear accountability. 11

Then they go through a whole series of claims for the positive merits of unicameralism; that it's more efficient, the bills get through, progress at an even pace. The peak of the legislation comes at the mid-point of the session, not the end of the session. So that it is a more efficient system that gives more individual consideration to the particular bills. You have, as a result, also a higher quality of legislation.

I won't go into the question whether the legislators have higher prestige. This is claimed. A claim is

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21