

1 I don't think it is necessary.

2 I feel that the oath which we have voted on  
3 to retain which says in so many words: I swear to uphold  
4 and support the Constitution, says exactly and more em-  
5 phatically what Section 11 says, and it puts the man on  
6 notice that Section 11 does not require any oath in it-  
7 self. It depends, for its implementation, on the Ober Law,  
8 where<sup>as</sup> the oath which we now have found elective and  
9 appointive officials to take, does say: I swear to uphold  
10 and support the Constitution, and with that, I myself felt,  
11 thought it was stronger, said it once and said it better  
12 than the inclusion of two sections saying much the same  
13 thing.

14 Further, I also asked, during the deliberations  
15 of the committee, and stated that I would support the  
16 deletion of Section 11 only if it were found, in the  
17 course of the research, that the Ober Law was not de-  
18 pendent on it for its existence and Mr. Murphy, who has  
19 acted in these cases, stated that quite emphatically, and  
20 feeling that way, I say we could delete this.

21 THE CHAIRMAN: But that doesn't answer the