

attachment law. The act was held void on the grounds that it denied equal protection of the laws.

Further, three Delegates to the House from Dorchester County, Mr. John T. Adams, Mr. D. Floyd Brinsfield and Mr. Frederick W. Lucas, have all requested that this Bill be vetoed.

For the above reasons, I am returning this Bill without my approval.

Respectfully,

THEODORE R. MCKELDIN,

Governor

TRMcK/jmt

BARBERS

May 7, 1951

Hon. George W. Della
President of the Senate
State House
Annapolis, Maryland

Dear Mr. President:

Senate Bill No. 430 proposes to except Allegany County from the provisions of the State-wide Barber Law, and to set up a Board of Barber Examiners for Allegany County with licensing and regulative powers.

Many provisions of the Bill are similar to those which the Court of Appeals held unconstitutional in the case of *Schneider v. Duer*, 170 Md. 326. The Attorney General has expressed the opinion that the Bill may not only be invalid on the foregoing, but also because of its restricted application to only one county of the State which makes it violative of the equal protection clause of the 14th Amendment of the Constitution of the United States and Article 23 of the Declaration of Rights of Maryland. This objection is analogous to that expressed by me in connection with the veto of Senate Bills Nos. 269 and 287.

For the foregoing reasons I am returning herewith this Bill without my approval.

Respectfully,

THEODORE R. MCKELDIN,

Governor

TRMcK/jmt