

This bill would correct certain errors in the Nursing Home Loan of 1966 and authorize the issuance of State bonds to aid in the nursing home construction. The Attorney General informs me that the bill is unconstitutional. For the reasons stated in the attached copy of his opinion, which is to be considered part of this message, I am compelled to veto the measure.

Sincerely,

(s) SPIRO T. AGNEW,  
*Governor.*

Letter from State Law Department on H. B. 90

May 10, 1968.

Honorable Spiro T. Agnew  
Governor of Maryland  
State House  
Annapolis, Maryland 21404

Dear Governor:

Chapter 652 of the Acts of the General Assembly of 1966 was declared unconstitutional by the Maryland Court of Appeals in *Birmingham v. Board of Public Works*. Since House Bill 90 depended on the constitutionality of Chapter 652, the signing of this Bill would, itself, be unconstitutional.

In any event, the Legislature passed, and we believe you have signed, House Bill 1034, which makes available the construction monies for nursing homes as originally intended in both Chapter 652 and House Bill 90.

Sincerely yours,

(s) FRANCIS B. BURCH,  
*Attorney General.*

---

**House Bill No. 393—Unemployment Compensation**

AN ACT to repeal and re-enact, with amendments, Section 3(c) of Article 95A of the Annotated Code of Maryland (1966 Supplement), title "Unemployment Insurance Law," subtitle "Benefits," to change the amount of weekly allowance payable for dependents to claimants under the unemployment insurance law.

May 7, 1968.

Honorable Marvin Mandel  
Speaker of the House of Delegates  
State House  
Annapolis, Maryland

Dear Mr. Speaker:

In accordance with Section 17 of Article II of the Maryland Constitution, I have vetoed today House Bill 393 and am returning it to you.

This bill would increase unemployment benefits from \$50.00 to \$56.00 in any one benefit week. I am informed by the Attorney