

| | | |
|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| Laveille | Traverse | Downs |
| Walker | Richardson | Darby |
| Risteau | Bayne | Braddock |
| Poultney | Tuck | Gott |
| Hook | Maccubbin | Gittings |
| Randall | Hamilton | Shaw—36 |
| | NEGATIVE. | |
| Messrs. Dorsey | Staley | Starr |
| Owens of A. A. | Geyer | Lyday |
| Sellman | Biser | Grove |
| Hammond | Schley | Spencer |
| Willis | Sutton of Har. | Hollman |
| Sherwood | Hope | Buskirk |
| Löwe | Johns | Buchanan |
| Knight | Bouldin | Powder |
| Forwood | Culbreth | Davis |
| Owens of Cecil | Gallagher | Boyle |
| Carmichael | Graves | Stull |
| Bryan | Presstman | Le Grand (Sp'r.) |
| Simmons | | 37 |

So the house refused to adopt the substitute.

The question again recurred upon the adoption of the original order, and on being put,

It was determined in the affirmative.

The said committee clerks appeared and qualified.

Mr. Gallagher submitted the following order:

Ordered, That William W. Murdoch be appointed door-keeper and William Fisher Sergeant-at-arms to the present House of Delegates;

Which was twice read.

Before the question was put,

On motion of Mr. Randall, it was divided.

The question was then put, shall William W. Murdoch be appointed door-keeper to the present House of Delegates?

Determined in the affirmative.

Mr. Risteau then proposed to amend said order, by striking out "William Fisher" and inserting "Victor Holmes,"

Which proposition the speaker decided to be out of order.

The question was then put, shall William Fisher be appointed Sergeant-at-arms to the present House of Delegates?

Determined in the affirmative.

The door-keeper and Sergeant-at-arms then appeared and qualified.

Mr. Hope submitted the following message,

Which was read, assented to and sent to the senate:

By the House of Delegates,
December 29, 1841.

Gentlemen of the Senate:

The House of Delegates is ready to proceed with the business of the session. We have chosen John C. Le Grand, Esq.