

Which was read the first, and by a special order the second time, "assented to," and sent to the Senate.

On motion of Mr. Johnson, of Frederick,

Ordered, That it be entered on the journal of this House, that if Wm. C. Johnson, of Frederick county, had been in his seat, when the vote was taken on the bill "entitled, an act to reduce the salaries of all officers paid out of the State Treasury, except salaries fixed by the Constitution," he would have voted in the affirmative.

On motion of Mr. Thomson,

Ordered, That it be entered on the journal of this House, that if John Thomson of Carroll county, had been in his seat when the vote was taken on the bill "entitled, an act to reduce the salaries of all officers paid out of the State Treasury, except salaries fixed by the Constitution," he would have voted in the affirmative.

On motion of Mr. Bowie.

Ordered, That it be entered on the journal of this House, that if Robert W. Bowie of Prince George's, had been in his seat when the vote was taken on the bill "entitled, an act to reduce the salaries of all officers paid out of the State Treasury, except salaries fixed by the Constitution," he would have voted in the affirmative.

Mr. Calvert from the majority of the committee to which was referred the bill from the Senate entitled, an act to repeal in part the 3rd section of an act entitled, an act for the revaluation of the assessable property in Prince George's county, passed at December session 1824, ch. 38, and for other purposes, made the following

#### REPORT:

The select committee, to whom was referred the Senate bill "entitled, an act to repeal in part, the third section of an act entitled, an act for the revaluation of the assessable property in Prince George's county, passed at December session 1824, ch. 38, and for other purposes," beg leave to report, that they have carefully examined the same, and are of opinion, that this bill ought not to pass.

Your committee are not aware of the particular object of this bill, but if it was intended that the clerk of the levy court of Prince George's county, should in future be elected annually, the act is very defective, as it repeals the acts of 1824 and 1829, but does not repeal the act of 1833, which confers on the levy court, fuller powers than either of the acts intended to be repealed by this act.

Your committee cannot, however, conceive what possible good can arise to the public by the contemplated change in the tenure of that office, and they are not aware that any application has been made by the citizens of the county for such change, but on the contrary, they believe that a large proportion of their constituents are opposed to any such alteration, because under the present law, the levy court have the right "to dismiss the said clerk