

Speaker of the House of Delegates  
State House  
Annapolis, Maryland 21404

Dear Mr. Speaker:

In accordance with Article II, Section 17, of the Maryland Constitution, I have today vetoed House Bill 561.

This bill authorizes Baltimore City to borrow \$1,000,000 for the rehabilitation of residential buildings, subject to the adoption of an amendment to Article XI-G of the Constitution of Maryland.

Senate Bill 301, which was enacted by the General Assembly and signed by me on April 9, 1974, accomplishes the same purpose as House Bill 561. In addition, the Attorney General has advised me that House Bill 561 is unconstitutional because of an apparent error in collating the bill which has created a variance between the title and the body of the Act. A copy of the Opinion of the Attorney General is attached to and should be made a part of this veto message.

For these reasons, I have decided to veto House Bill 561.

Sincerely,  
/s/ Marvin Mandel  
Governor

Letter from State Law Department on House Bill 561.

May 8, 1974.

Hon. Marvin Mandel  
Governor of Maryland  
State House  
Annapolis, Maryland 21404

Re: House Bill 560 House Bill 561

Dear Governor Mandel:

House Bill 560 and House Bill 561 are each unconstitutional because of titling problems. The title of the former states that it concerns "Baltimore City—Commercial Rehabilitation Bond Issue" and that the proceeds of the bond issue are to be used to guarantee,