

easement in the said property to the Maryland Historical Trust.....13,000"

(5) Item J1(l) appearing on page 38 of the bill, entitled

"(l) Grant to the Society for the Preservation of Maryland Antiquities, Inc., for preparation to exhibit Rogers Tavern in Perryville, Cecil County; subject to the requirement that the Society grant and convey an Historic Easement to the Maryland Historical Trust.....5,000"

My reasons for vetoing these items are as follows:

With respect to Item F1(h), this appropriates \$90,000 for preliminary plans for a biology building at the College Park Campus of the University of Maryland. This project is premature. The site for the proposed building is presently occupied by another facility housing the Department of Agriculture Engineering, which cannot be relocated until Phase II, Animal Sciences Building is constructed. The construction of that building has not yet commenced; and, in fact, the University has only recently requested approval for the planning of the Animal Sciences Building.

With respect to Item G1(d), this appropriates \$2,100,000 to assist in converting the existing Student Center at Bowie State College into a central dining facility. It has been the policy of the State for many years that facilities such as this, which are ancillary to the education process and are not used directly for it, are financed by revenue bonds paid for by student fees, and not by State general obligation bonds. This is presently the case at the other State Colleges, and I believe it would be most unwise to depart from that policy, especially in light of generally similar projects now being planned at other State institutions.

With respect to Items J1(j), (k), and (l), these appropriate very small amounts for projects that are required to be submitted by the Maryland Historical Trust to the Department of State Planning and other agencies for review and comment. This procedure was not followed in this instance, and I am advised that there is some question as to whether these projects would qualify for inclusion in the General Construction Loan in accordance with established criteria. Without commenting on the merits of any of the three appropriations, I believe it is unwise to circumvent the established procedures of review in these cases.