

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS WITHIN TEN DAYS AFTER THE HEARING.

(4) THE COMMISSIONER OR THE INMATE MAY FILE WRITTEN EXCEPTIONS TO THE REPORT OF A HEARING EXAMINER WITHIN FIVE DAYS AFTER THE REPORT IS MADE.

(E) (1) SUBJECT TO PARAGRAPH (2) OF THIS SUBSECTION, ONE COMMISSION MEMBER, ASSIGNED BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMISSION, SHALL REVIEW SUMMARILY THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HEARING EXAMINER.

(2) THE COMMISSION, ON ITS OWN MOTION OR ON THE FILING OF AN EXCEPTION, MAY SCHEDULE A HEARING ON THE RECORD BY A PANEL OF AT LEAST TWO COMMISSION MEMBERS, ASSIGNED BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMISSION, OR MAY SCHEDULE A HEARING ON THE RECORD BY THE ENTIRE COMMISSION. THE COMMISSION OR ITS PANEL SHALL RENDER A WRITTEN DECISION ON THE APPEAL. THE DECISION SHALL BE FINAL.

(3) IF, WITHIN THE FIVE DAY APPEAL PERIOD, AN EXCEPTION IS NOT FILED AND THE COMMISSION DOES NOT ACT ON ITS OWN, THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HEARING EXAMINER SHALL BE CONSIDERED APPROVED.

115.

(A) THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMISSION SHALL ASSIGN AT LEAST TWO COMMISSION MEMBERS TO HEAR CASES FOR PAROLE RELEASE. EACH PROCEEDING BEFORE A COMMISSION PANEL SHALL BE CONDUCTED AS PROVIDED IN THIS SECTION.

(B) (1) A COMMISSION PANEL THAT CONSISTS OF TWO MEMBERS SHALL DETERMINE, BY UNANIMOUS VOTE, IF THE INMATE IS SUITABLE FOR RELEASE ON PAROLE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STANDARDS SPECIFIED IN § 112 OF THIS SUBTITLE. IF THE PANEL IS UNABLE TO REACH A UNANIMOUS DECISION, THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMISSION SHALL CONVENE A THREE-MEMBER PANEL AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE TO REHEAR THE CASE.

(2) A COMMISSION PANEL THAT CONSISTS OF THREE MEMBERS SHALL DETERMINE, BY MAJORITY VOTE, IF THE INMATE IS SUITABLE FOR RELEASE ON PAROLE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE STANDARDS SPECIFIED IN § 112 OF THIS SUBTITLE.

(C) THE COMMISSION MEMBERS SHALL INFORM THE INMATE AND THE APPROPRIATE CORRECTIONAL AUTHORITY OF THE COMMISSION DECISION AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. IF PAROLE IS DENIED, THE COMMISSION SHALL GIVE TO THE INMATE A WRITTEN REPORT OF ITS FINDINGS WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THE HEARING.

116.

(A) IF AN INMATE RELEASED ON PAROLE IS ALLEGED TO HAVE VIOLATED A CONDITION OF PAROLE, ONE COMMISSION MEMBER SHALL HEAR THE CASE ON REVOCATION OF THE PAROLE, AT THE TIME AND PLACE DESIGNATED BY THE COMMISSION.