

That the witnesses now proposed to be examined were summoned to the previous examination. Some of them were present at that time, and others within reach of the sitting member, and their examination was expressly waived.

That on the testimony then taken the case was brought before the Senate committee on Elections; was fully argued and closed and concluded before said committee.

That said committee has already made its report to the Senate of Maryland, declaring Dr. Littleton Maclin ineligible to a seat in said body, and that Hart B. Holton is duly elected a member to represent Howard county therein.

HENRY STOCKBRIDGE,

GEO. W. SANDS,

Counsel for Hart B. Holton.

Dr. Maclin does not admit either of the facts or the reasons of said protest.

DEPOSITION No. 1.

Jas. D. Cook being duly sworn, deposed and said, as follows :

Question by Dr. Maclin. Do you know Dr. Maclin, and how long have you known him, and how intimately have you known him?

Answer. I think I have known Dr. Maclin since the year 1850 or 1851, and have known him quite intimately, having been a member of the same party all the time.

Ques. Have you or not had frequent conversations with him since the Fall of 1860 in regard to political affairs, and if so state what have been from that time to the present his expressions of opinion in regard to secession, the rebellion and kindred subjects?

Ans. I have had frequent conversations with Dr. Maclin since that time, and he has always stated to me that he was opposed to secession, and I have heard him say frequently that if any man said he was a secessionist that it was false. He has always expressed that the South had better right her grievances within the Union.

Ques. State to what party you belong, and whether the opinion of Dr. Maclin in regard to secession and the rebellion as you state them, have been generally known among members of your party, and especially whether they were so generally known at the time of the last election?