

STANDING AND THE RIGHT AND AUTHORITY TO INITIATE OR INTERVENE IN ANY ADMINISTRATIVE, JUDICIAL, OR OTHER ORIGINAL PROCEEDING OR APPEAL IN THIS STATE CONCERNING A PROJECT APPROVAL OR OTHERWISE CONCERNING---LAND---USE,---DEVELOPMENT,---OR---CONSTRUCTION IN THE CHESAPEAKE BAY CRITICAL AREA. THE CHAIRMAN MAY EXERCISE THIS INTERVENTION AUTHORITY WITHOUT FIRST OBTAINING APPROVAL FROM THE COMMISSION, BUT THE CHAIRMAN SHALL SEND PROMPT WRITTEN NOTICE OF ANY INTERVENTION OR INITIATION OF ACTION UNDER THIS SECTION TO EACH MEMBER OF THE COMMISSION. THE CHAIRMAN SHALL WITHDRAW THE INTERVENTION OR ACTION INITIATED IF WITHIN 35 DAYS AFTER THE DATE OF THE CHAIRMAN'S NOTICE, AT LEAST 13 MEMBERS INDICATE DISAPPROVAL OF THE ACTION, EITHER IN WRITING ADDRESSED TO THE CHAIRMAN OR BY VOTE AT A MEETING OF THE COMMISSION. A MEMBER REPRESENTING THE LOCAL JURISDICTION AFFECTED BY THE CHAIRMAN'S INTERVENTION OR ACTION MAY REQUEST A MEETING OF THE COMMISSION TO VOTE ON THE CHAIRMAN'S INTERVENTION OR ACTION.

(B) EXCEPT AS STATED IN THIS SUBTITLE, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CHAIRMAN IS SUBJECT TO GENERAL LAWS AND RULES OF PROCEDURE THAT GOVERN THE TIME WITHIN AND MANNER IN WHICH, BUT NOT THE EXTENT TO WHICH, THE AUTHORITY GRANTED IN SUBSECTION (A) MAY BE EXERCISED.

(C) THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CHAIRMAN MAY APPEAL AN ACTION OR DECISION EVEN IF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CHAIRMAN WAS NOT A PARTY TO OR IS NOT SPECIFICALLY AGGRIEVED BY THE ACTION OR DECISION.

~~(D) - (1) - IF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR BECOMES A PARTY TO AN APPEAL ON THE RECORD AND WAS NOT A PARTY TO THE CASE WHEN THE RECORD WAS MADE, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR MAY PRODUCE NEW EVIDENCE BEFORE THE APPELLATE BODY AS A MATTER OF RIGHT.~~

~~(2) - ANY OTHER PARTY TO THE APPEAL MAY INTRODUCE ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE ON ANY MATTER REASONABLY WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE EVIDENCE PRODUCED BY THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR.~~

~~(3) - THE APPELLATE BODY SHALL REVERSE OR MODIFY A PROJECT APPROVAL ON AN APPEAL TO WHICH THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR IS A PARTY IF THE APPELLATE BODY FINDS, BY A PREPONDERANCE OF ALL THE EVIDENCE, INCLUDING ANY NEW EVIDENCE, THAT THE PROJECT APPROVAL IS INCONSISTENT WITH OR VIOLATES AN APPROVED PROGRAM.~~

8-1814- 8-1813.

(A) THE PROGRAM OF EACH LOCAL JURISDICTION SHALL PERMIT THE CONTINUATION, BUT NOT NECESSARILY THE INTENSIFICATION OR EXPANSION, OF ANY USE EXISTING ON JULY 17, 1984, UNLESS THE USE IS ABANDONED FOR LONGER THAN 1 YEAR.

(B) - (1) - THE PROGRAM OF EACH LOCAL JURISDICTION SHALL PERMIT THE CONSTRUCTION OF AT LEAST 1 SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING BY A PERSON THAT, ON JULY 17, 1984, OWNED OR CONTROLLED 1 OR MORE LOTS OR TRACTS ZONED FOR SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL USE.