

Which was ordered.

The PRESIDENT was about to put the question on the demand for the previous question, when

Mr. BUCHANAN asked that the several branches into which the resolution was to be divided should be read.

The divisions were read.

Mr. PRESSMAN then moved that there be a call of the Convention.

The call was ordered.

The roll of the members was then called.

Mr. SPENCER rose in his seat and stated, that he had paired off with Mr. DIRICKSON until Saturday next, being himself engaged with business connected with the Court of Appeals.

And then,

On motion of Mr. CHAMBERS, of Kent, all further proceedings on the call were dispensed with.

Mr. BUCHANAN now enquired, as a question of order, whether it was in order to call for a division of a proposition while the demand for the previous question was pending?

The PRESIDENT decided the motion to be in order.

The question was then taken on the demand for the previous question.

And there was a second.

And the main question was ordered to be now taken.

The PRESIDENT indicated his opinion that the pending proposition was susceptible of three divisions.

They were accordingly ordered.

Mr. McLANE called for the reading of the proposition.

Which was again read.

The question was then stated to be on the first branch of the amendment, as follows:

“Ordered, That the committee on Representation be instructed to report articles for the Constitution, giving to each of the counties of the State and to the city of Baltimore, a right to elect one Senator, to compose the Senate of Maryland.”

The roll was then called.

The name of

Mr. MAGRAW having been called, that gentleman rose and said, that he hardly knew how to vote. He supposed, however, that it would make no difference which side of the question he took, and he should, therefore, vote “aye.”

The result of the vote was then announced as follows:

*Affirmative*—Messrs. Chapman, President, Morgan, Blakistone, Dent, Hopewell, Ricaud, Lee, Chambers of Kent, Dorsey, Wells, Kent, Weems, Bond, Sollers, Buchanan, Bell, Ridgely, Lloyd, Dickinson, Sherwood of Talbot, Colston, James U. Dennis, Crisfield, Dashiell, Williams, Hodson, Phelps, Miller, Tuck, McCubbin, George, McMaster, Fook, Jacobs, Sappington, McHenry, Magraw, Nelson, Carter, Thawley, Neill, Michael Newcomer, Kilgour, Brewer, Waters and Fitzpatrick—41.

*Negative*—Messrs. Merrick, Welch, Chandler, Constable, Chambers of Cecil, McLane, Wright,

Thomas, Shriver, Gaither, Biser, Annan, Stewart of Caroline, Gwinn, Stewart of Baltimore city, Sherwood of Baltimore city, Pressman, Ware, Fiery, John Newcomer, Harbine, Weber, Slicer, Parke, Shower and Brown—26.

So the first division of the resolution was adopted.

The second division of the resolution was read.

Mr. PHELPS said, he would like to go in favor of the number of sixty-one for the House of Delegates; but he was not prepared to base the representation upon population. He asked, therefore, another division.

The PRESIDENT expressed his opinion that the amendment, was susceptible of this sub-division.

And it was ordered accordingly.

The question was then stated to be on the following branch:

“And making a House of Delegates to consist of sixty-one members.”

The yeas and nays were taken and resulted as follows:

*Affirmative*—Messrs. Wells, Kent, Buchanan, Bell, Welch, Chandler, Ridgely, James U. Dennis, Hodson, Phelps, McMaster, Shriver, Biser, Annan, Sappington, Nelson, Gwinn, Stewart of Baltimore city, Pressman, Ware, Fiery, Neill, John Newcomer, Harbine, Michael Newcomer, Brewer, Weber, Slicer, Fitzpatrick and Parke.—31.

*Negative*—Messrs. Chapman, President, Morgan, Blakistone, Dent, Hopewell, Ricaud, Lee, Chambers of Kent, Donaldson, Dorsey, Weems, Bond, Sollers, Merrick, Jenifer, Lloyd, Dickinson, Sherwood of Talbot, Colston, Crisfield, Dashiell, Williams, Constable, Chambers of Cecil, Miller, McLane, Tuck, McCubbin, George, Wright, Fooks, Thomas, Gaither, Stephenson, McHenry, Magraw, Carter, Thawley, Stewart of Caroline, Kilgour, Waters, Shower and Brown—41.

So the second branch of the amendment was rejected.

Some conversation followed on a question of order,

After which,

The next division of the amendment was stated to be as follows:

“To be apportioned among the several counties according to their population.”

And the question having been taken,

The vote resulted as follows:

*Affirmative*—Messrs. Buchanan, Bell, Welch, Chandler, Ridgely, Lloyd, Constable, Miller, McLane, Shriver, Gaither, Biser, Annan, Sappington, McHenry, Magraw, Nelson, Gwinn, Stewart of Baltimore city, Sherwood of Baltimore city, Pressman, Ware, Fiery, Neill, John Newcomer, Harbine, Michael Newcomer, Weber, Slicer, Fitzpatrick, Parke, Shower and Brown—33.

*Negative*—Messrs. Chapman, President, Morgan, Blakistone, Dent, Hopewell, Ricaud, Lee, Chambers of Kent, Donaldson, Dorsey, Wells, Kent, Weems, Bond, Sollers, Merrick, Jenifer,