

The question being on the adoption of the motion,

Mr. Clarke demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs.	Galloway,	Nyman,
Goldsborough, P't	Greene,	Pugh,
Abbott,	Hebb,	Robinette,
Annan,	Hoffman,	Russell,
Audoun,	Hopkins,	Sands,
Baker,	Hopper,	Scott,
Berry, of Balt. co.	Jones, of Cecil,	Smith, of Carroll,
Brooks,	Keefer,	Sneary,
Carter,	Kennard,	Stirling,
Cunningham,	King,	Sykes,
Cushing,	Larsh,	Thomas,
Daniel,	Markey,	Thruston,
Davis, of Wash.,	McComas,	Todd,
Dellinger,	Mullikin,	Valliant,
Earle,	Murray,	Wickard,
Ecker,	Negley,	Wooden—49.
Farrow,	Noble,	

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Barron,	Davis, of Charles,	Lee,
Berry, of P. G.,	Duvall,	Marbury,
Billingsley,	Edelen,	Mitchell,
Blackiston,	Harwood,	Miller,
Bond,	Henkle,	Morgan,
Briscoe,	Hodson,	Parker,
Brown,	Horsey,	Parran,
Chambers,	Johnson,	Peter,
Clarke,	Jones, of Som.	Smith, of Dor.,
Crawford,	Lansdale,	Wilmer—30.

So the question upon its adoption was decided in the affirmative.

The question then recurring upon the second amendment submitted by Mr. Cushing,

Mr. Berry, of Prince George's, demanded the yeas and nays.

The demand being sustained,

The yeas and nays were called and appeared as follows: