

August Court 1726

to Co of good Behaviour and now at this Day y<sup>e</sup> said Charles appearing in  
Recognition is Discharged \_\_\_\_\_ also \_\_\_\_\_

Francis Reed and W<sup>m</sup> Dias both of Front County Planters at this Court  
as afo<sup>r</sup> Acknowledged themselves indebted unto the Lord Prop<sup>r</sup> of this  
Province in the Sum of five pounds Ster<sup>l</sup> Each to be Levoyd &c.  
Conditionally that if the afo<sup>r</sup> Charles Neale <sup>Should</sup> not make his personall  
appearance here at any time During this Court sitting to answer unto an  
Information for fornication and in y<sup>e</sup> mean time to Co of Good Behaviour  
and now at this Day y<sup>e</sup> s<sup>d</sup> Charles appearing y<sup>e</sup> s<sup>d</sup> Francis Reed and  
W<sup>m</sup> Dias their Recogniz<sup>t</sup> and either of them is Discharged \_\_\_\_\_

Ordered by the Court here that the Sher<sup>of</sup> of Front County keep the afo<sup>r</sup> Charles  
Neale in safe Custody for his appearance <sup>at the Court</sup> to answer unto an Information for  
fornication \_\_\_\_\_

Front County s<sup>t</sup> Memorandum that Touch Francis Gould Atty<sup>r</sup> of the  
Right Hon<sup>ble</sup> the Lord Prop<sup>r</sup> of that now is for the County afo<sup>r</sup> who for the same  
Lord Prop<sup>r</sup> in this part prosecuteth the Dole Com<sup>r</sup> here into Front County Court  
before Lambert Wilmer Esq<sup>r</sup> and his Associates his s<sup>d</sup> Lordships Justices of  
the same County Court to wit at new Town in the County afo<sup>r</sup> the twenty first  
Day of June Anno Dom<sup>i</sup> Seventeen hundred twenty six and for the  
same Lord Prop<sup>r</sup> doth give the Court here to understand and be informed  
that Charles Neale late of St. Pauls parish in the County afo<sup>r</sup> Labourer y<sup>e</sup>  
twentieth Day of March Anno Dom<sup>i</sup> Seventeen hundred twenty six at  
the parish afo<sup>r</sup> in the County afo<sup>r</sup> within the Jurisdiction of this Court having  
been duly admonished by the Vestrymen of the same parish in y<sup>e</sup> afo<sup>r</sup> City  
did afterward to wit the twenty first Day of April Anno Dom<sup>i</sup> Seventeen  
hundred twenty six and at Divers other times as well before as since y<sup>e</sup>  
afo<sup>r</sup> twentieth Day of March at the parish afo<sup>r</sup> in the County afo<sup>r</sup> within  
the Jurisdiction afo<sup>r</sup> as a fornicator frequent the Company of a certain Trade  
Manning of the afo<sup>r</sup> parish and County the same Trade then and yet  
being the Lawfull wife of a certain John Manning formerly to the afo<sup>r</sup>  
afo<sup>r</sup> in that Case was and provided an against the Honour of the  
Lord Prop<sup>r</sup> of that now is his good Pleas and Duty y<sup>e</sup> wherefore the same  
Atty<sup>r</sup> of the s<sup>d</sup> Lord Prop<sup>r</sup> for the same Lord Prop<sup>r</sup> prayeth the Advice of  
the Court here in the premises and doth propose & Law in this part to be  
made against the afo<sup>r</sup> Charles to answer unto the s<sup>d</sup> Lord Prop<sup>r</sup> of and  
upon the premises \_\_\_\_\_ Francis for Place \_\_\_\_\_ And