TESTIMONY

IN THE CASE OF JUDGE STUMP.

OATH ADMINISTERED.

The evidence which you shall give before us, the Committee on the part of the Senate and the Committee on the part of the House of Delegates of Maryland, to whom has been referred the memorial in reference to the removal of Henry Stump, Judge of the Criminal Court for Baltimore city, shall be the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth, so help you God.

Thomas Creamer sworn.

1st. Are you or not acquainted with Judge Stump? Answer. I am.

2d. Do you or not reside in the city of Baltimore, and have you not been the Sheriff of said city for the past two years? Answer. I do reside in the city of Baltimore, and was Sheriff

of said city from the 30th of November, 1857, to the 23d of

November, 1859.

3d. Do or you not know that Judge Stump is in the habitual use of intoxicating drinks during the period of the day when his Court is in session, if so, state the particular occasions when you saw him intoxicated, and whether or not it is usual for him to sit on the bench in a state of intoxication, and to adjourn his Court for an unusual length of time to indulge in drinking, to the great injury of the public business, and is he or is he not, at times, vulgar and undignified in his demeanor on the bench?

Answer. I have seen him drunk on the bench in the trial