

## VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS

relief of the securities of Thomas Bailey, former collector of the tax for Baltimore county; which was read the first time and referred to Messrs. Johnson, Wootton and Miller.

Mr. Johnson from the committee to whom was referred said bill, reported, that the committee had had the same under consideration, and were of opinion that it ought to pass. Which report was concurred in.

The bill was then read a second and third time by special order and passed.

The senate adjourned until to-morrow 10 o'clock.

TUESDAY, February 18, 1823.

The senate met. Present the same members as on yesterday. The proceedings of yesterday were read.

The supplement to the act for the relief of the securities of Thomas Bailey, former collector of the tax for Baltimore county, was sent to the house of delegates.

The resolution relative to the distribution of the laws and votes and proceedings, was read a second time and laid on the table.

Mr. Johnson moved to dispense with the order of the senate, prohibiting the introduction of new business after the 5th instant, and the question was put, and determined in the affirmative.

Mr. Johnson presented the petition of William Patterson, of Baltimore, praying to be allowed to build a house for the inspection of tobacco in said city; which was read and referred to Messrs. Johnson, Miller and Wootton.

Mr. Orrell presented the following report; which was read.

The committee to whom was referred the returns of the registers of wills of the several counties of this state, in compliance with the request of the senate of the 14th of January, 1823, beg leave to report, that they have taken the same into consideration, and therefrom have made the following statement, noting the number of days each orphans court was in session during the three years last past, viz. 1820, 1821 and 1822; and also noting the number of appeals taken from the decision of said courts during said terms; likewise the number of reversals, and number of cases now pending; but have omitted noticing the particular attendance of each individual justice, of each court, deeming it unnecessary, viz.

## CALVERT.

1820—Court in session 15 days,	}	No appeals, no reversals, no cases pending.
1821—Ditto ditto 14 ditto,		
1822—Ditto ditto 15 ditto,		

## ALLEGANY.

1820—Court in session 25 days,	}	No appeals, no reversals, no cases pending.
1821—Ditto ditto 26 ditto,		
1822—Ditto ditto 36 ditto,		

## WORCESTER.

1820—Court in session 27 days,	}	No appeals, no reversals, no cases pending.
1821—Ditto ditto 28 ditto,		
1822—Ditto ditto 30 ditto,		

## QUEEN-ANNE'S.

1820—Court in session 53 days,	}	One appeal within the last three years, which was affirmed.
1821—Ditto ditto 45 ditto,		
1822—Ditto ditto 52 ditto,		

## KENT.

1820—Court in session 40 days,	}	No appeals.
1821—Ditto ditto 44 ditto,		
1822—Ditto ditto 59 ditto,		

## SOMERSET.

1820—Court in session 29 days,	}	One appeal which is now pending.
1821—Ditto ditto 27 ditto,		
1822—Ditto ditto 23 ditto,		

## HARFORD.

1820—Court in session 42 days,	}	No appeals.
1821—Ditto ditto 45 ditto,		
1822—Ditto ditto 44 ditto,		

## DORCHESTER.

1820—Court in session 30 days,	}	One appeal, one affirmed, no case pending.
1821—Ditto ditto 27 ditto,		
1822—Ditto ditto 31 ditto,		

## CAROLINE.

1820—Court in session 31 days,	}	No appeals.
1821—Ditto ditto 30 ditto,		
1822—Ditto ditto 34 ditto,		

## CHARLES.

1820—Court in session 39 days,	}	Six appeals within the three years. No evidence exists in this court of any reversals, or cases now pending.
1821—Ditto ditto 39 ditto,		
1822—Ditto ditto 24 ditto,		

## MONTGOMERY.

1820	}	The number of days the court was in session, these 3 years, is not stated in the register's return in detail; but he states that there were no appeals, of course no reversals.
1821		
1822		

The total amount of days the court was in session during the three years, are two hundred and sixty-four.